302 Attachment 3

Township of West Vincent

Appendix C Runoff Coefficients and Curve Numbers

TABLE C-1.RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS

Source: Table 2-2a, Table 2-2b, and Table 2-2c from U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, June 1986, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55 (TR-55), Second Edition.

TABLE C-2. RATIONAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

Source: Table F.2 from Delaware County Planning Department, December 2011, Crum Creek Watershed Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan.

TABLE C-3.MANNING'S 'n' VALUES

Source: Table 3-1 from United States Army Corps of Engineers, January 2010, HEC-RAS River Analysis System, Hydraulic Reference Manual, Version 4.1.

File: W:\West Vincent\Engineering\2022\Stormwater Management Update 2022 Appendix C 122122.docx

TABLE C-1.RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS
(3 pages)

Source: Table 2-2a, Table 2-2b, and Table 2-2c from U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, June 1986, Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds, Technical Release No. 55 (TR-55), Second Edition.

| Cover Description | | Curve Numbers for Hydrologic Soil Group | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|----|----|----|
| Average Percent | | | | | |
| Cover Type and Hydrologic Condition | Impervious Area ² | Α | B | C | D |
| Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established) | | | | | |
| Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) 3 | | | | | |
| Poor condition (grass cover < 50%) | | 68 | 79 | 86 | 89 |
| Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%) | | 49 | 69 | 79 | 84 |
| Good condition (grass cover > 75%) | | 39 | 61 | 74 | 80 |
| Impervious areas: | | | | | |
| Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. (excluding right-of-way) | | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Streets and roads: | | | | | |
| Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding right- of-way) | | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way) | | 83 | 89 | 92 | 93 |
| Gravel (Including right-of-way) | | 76 | 85 | 89 | 91 |
| Dirt (including right-of-way) | | 72 | 82 | 87 | 89 |
| Western desert urban areas: | | | | | |
| Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) ⁴ | | 63 | 77 | 85 | 88 |
| Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier, desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch and basin borders) | | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Urban districts: | | | | | |
| Commercial and business | 85 | 89 | 92 | 94 | 95 |
| Industrial | 72 | 81 | 88 | 91 | 93 |
| Residential districts by average lot size: | | | | | |
| 1/8 acre or less (town houses) | 65 | 77 | 85 | 90 | 92 |
| 1/4 acre | 38 | 61 | 75 | 83 | 87 |
| 1/3 acre | 30 | 57 | 72 | 81 | 86 |
| 1/2 acre | 25 | 54 | 70 | 80 | 85 |
| 1 acre | 20 | 51 | 68 | 79 | 84 |
| 2 acres | 12 | 46 | 65 | 77 | 82 |
| Developing urban areas | | | | | |
| Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no vegetation) ⁵ | | 77 | 86 | 91 | 94 |
| Idle lands (CNs are determined using cover types similar to those in table 2-2c). | | | | | |

Table 2-2a Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas¹

NOTES:

- ¹ Average runoff condition, and $I_a = 0.2S$.
- ² The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CNs. Other assumptions are as follows; impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. CNs for other combinations of conditions may be computed using Figure 2-3 or 2-4.
- ³ CNs shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CNs may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.
- ⁴ Composite CNs for natural desert landscaping should be computed using Figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage (CN = 98) and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CNs are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.
- ⁵ Composite CNs to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using Figure 2-3 or 2-4 based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CNs for the newly graded pervious areas.

| Cover Description | | Curve Numbers (CNs) for Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|----|----|----|----|
| Cover Type | Treatment ² | Hydrologic Condition ³ | Α | В | С | D |
| Fallow | Bare soil | _ | 77 | 86 | 91 | 94 |
| | Crop residue cover (CR) | Poor | 76 | 85 | 90 | 93 |
| | | Good | 74 | 83 | 88 | 90 |
| Row crops | Straight row (SR) | Poor | 72 | 81 | 88 | 91 |
| | | Good | 67 | 78 | 85 | 89 |
| | SR + CR | Poor | 71 | 80 | 87 | 90 |
| | | Good | 64 | 75 | 82 | 85 |
| | Contoured (C) | Poor | 70 | 79 | 84 | 88 |
| | | Good | 65 | 75 | 82 | 86 |
| | C + CR | Poor | 69 | 78 | 83 | 87 |
| | | Good | 64 | 74 | 81 | 85 |
| | Contoured and terraced | Poor | 66 | 74 | 80 | 82 |
| (C&T) C&T | (C&T) | Good | 62 | 71 | 78 | 81 |
| | C&T + CR | Poor | 65 | 73 | 79 | 81 |
| | | Good | 61 | 70 | 77 | 80 |
| Small grain | SR | Poor | 65 | 76 | 84 | 88 |
| | | Good | 63 | 75 | 83 | 87 |
| | SR + CR | Poor | 64 | 75 | 83 | 86 |
| | | Good | 60 | 72 | 80 | 84 |
| | С | Poor | 63 | 74 | 82 | 85 |
| | | Good | 61 | 73 | 81 | 84 |
| | C + CR | Poor | 62 | 73 | 81 | 84 |
| | | Good | 60 | 72 | 80 | 83 |
| | C&T | Poor | 61 | 72 | 79 | 82 |
| | | Good | 59 | 70 | 78 | 81 |
| | C&T + CR | Poor | 60 | 71 | 78 | 81 |
| | | Good | 58 | 69 | 77 | 80 |
| Close-seeded or broadcast legumes or rotation meadow | SR | Poor | 66 | 77 | 85 | 89 |
| | | Good | 58 | 72 | 81 | 85 |
| | С | Poor | 64 | 75 | 83 | 85 |
| | | Good | 55 | 69 | 78 | 83 |
| | C&T | Poor | 63 | 73 | 80 | 83 |
| | | Good | 51 | 67 | 76 | 80 |

Table 2-2b Runoff Curve Numbers for Cultivated Agricultural Lands¹

NOTES:

- ¹ Average runoff condition and $I_a = 0.2S$.
- ² Crop residue cover applies only if residue is on at least 5% of the surface throughout the year.

³ Hydraulic condition is based on combination factors that affect infiltration and runoff, including:

- (a) Density and canopy of vegetative areas;
- (b) Amount of year-round cover;
- (c) Amount of grass or close-seeded legumes;
- (d) Percent of residue cover on the land surface (good $\geq 20\%$); and
- (e) Degree of surface roughness.

Poor: Factors impair infiltration and tend to increase runoff.

Good: Factors encourage average and better than average infiltration and tend to decrease runoff.

| Cover Description | | Curve Numbers for Hydrologic Soil Group | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|----|----|----|--|
| Cover Type | Hydrologic Condition | Α | В | С | D | |
| Pasture, grassland, or range – continuous | Poor | 68 | 79 | 86 | 89 | |
| forage for grazing ² | Fair | 49 | 69 | 79 | 84 | |
| | Good | 39 | 61 | 74 | 80 | |
| Meadow – continuous grass, protected from grazing and generally mowed for hay | _ | 30 | 58 | 71 | 78 | |
| Brush – brush-weed-grass mixture with brush the major element ³ | Poor | 48 | 67 | 77 | 83 | |
| | Fair | 35 | 56 | 70 | 77 | |
| | Good | 30 ⁴ | 48 | 65 | 73 | |
| Woods – grass combination (orchard or tree farm) ⁵ | Poor | 57 | 73 | 82 | 86 | |
| | Fair | 43 | 65 | 76 | 82 | |
| | Good | 32 | 58 | 72 | 79 | |
| Woods ⁶ | Poor | 45 | 66 | 77 | 83 | |
| | Fair | 36 | 60 | 73 | 79 | |
| | Good | 304 | 55 | 70 | 77 | |
| Farmsteads – buildings, lanes, driveways, and surrounding lots | _ | 59 | 74 | 82 | 86 | |

Table 2-2c Runoff Curve Numbers for Other Agricultural Lands¹

NOTES:

- ¹ Average runoff condition, and $I_a = 0.2S$.
- ² Poor: < 50% ground cover or heavily grazed with no mulch.
 Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover and not heavily grazed.
 Good: > 75% ground cover and lightly or only occasionally grazed.
- ³ Poor: < 50% ground cover.
 Fair: 50 to 75% ground cover.
 - Good: > 75% ground cover.
- ⁴ Actual curve number is less than 30; use CN = 30 for runoff computations.
- ⁵ CNs shown were computed for areas with 50% woods and 50% grass (pasture) cover. Other combinations of conditions may be computed from the CNs for woods and pasture.
- ⁶ Poor: Forest litter, small trees, and brush are destroyed by heavy grazing or regular burning. Fair: Woods are grazed but not burned, and some forest litter covers the soil. Good: Woods are protected from grazing, and litter and brush adequately cover the soil.

Table C-2. Rational Runoff Coefficients

(1 page)

Source: Table F. 2 from Delaware County Planning Department, December 2011, *Crum Creek Watershed Act 167 Stormwater Management Plan.*

| | | Hydrologic Soil Group | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| Land Use Description | and Use Description A B C | | С | D | |
| Cultivated land: | | | | | |
| Without conservation treatme | nt | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.88 |
| With conservation treatment | | 0.27 | 0.43 | 0.61 | 0.67 |
| Pasture or range land: | | | | | |
| Poor condition | | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.78 | 0.84 |
| Good condition | | * | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.65 |
| Meadow: good condition | | * | * | 0.44 | 0.61 |
| Woods: | | | | | |
| Thin stand, poor cover, no mu | ılch | * | 0.34 | 0.59 | 0.70 |
| Good cover | | * | * | 0.45 | 0.59 |
| Open spaces, lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries: | | | | | |
| Good condition: grass cover on 75% or more of the area | | * | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.65 |
| Fair condition: grass cover on 50% to 75% of the area | | * | 0.45 | 0.63 | 0.74 |
| Commercial and business areas (85% impervious) | | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.96 |
| Industrial districts (72% impervious) | | 0.67 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.92 |
| Residential: | | | | | |
| Average Lot Size | Average % Impervious | | | | |
| 1/8 acre or less | 65 | 0.59 | 0.76 | 0.86 | 0.90 |
| 1/4 acre | 38 | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.78 |
| 1/3 acre | 30 | * | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.78 |
| 1/2 acre | 25 | * | 0.45 | 0.65 | 0.76 |
| 1 acre | 20 | * | 0.41 | 0.63 | 0.74 |
| Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc. | | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Streets and roads: | | | | | |
| Paved with curbs and storm s | ewers | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| Gravel | | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.88 |
| Dirt | | 0.49 | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.84 |

Table F-2Rational Runoff Coefficients

NOTES:

Values are based on SCS definitions and are average values.

Values indicated by —* should be determined by the design engineer based on site characteristics.

Source: New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Technical Manual for Stream Encroachment, August 1984

Table C-3. Manning's 'n' Values

(3 pages)

Source: Table 3-1 from United States Army Corps of Engineers, January 2010, HEC-RAS River Analysis System, Hydraulic Reference Manual, Version 4.1.

| Type of Channel and Description | Minimum | Normal | Maximum |
|--|---------|--------|---------|
| A. Natural Streams | | | |
| 1. Main Channels: | | | |
| a. Clean, straight, full, no rifts or deep pools | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.033 |
| b. Same as above, but more stones and weeds | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.040 |
| c. Clean, winding, some pools and shoals | 0.033 | 0.040 | 0.045 |
| d. Same as above, but some weeds and stones | 0.035 | 0.045 | 0.050 |
| e. Same as above, lower stages, more ineffective slopes and sections | 0.040 | 0.048 | 0.055 |
| f. Same as "d" but more stones | 0.045 | 0.050 | 0.060 |
| g. Sluggish reaches, weedy, deep pools | 0.050 | 0.070 | 0.080 |
| h. Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stands of timber and brush | 0.070 | 0.100 | 0.150 |
| 2. Floodplains: | | | |
| a. Pasture, no brush | | | |
| 1. Short grass | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.035 |
| 2. High grass | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.050 |
| b. Cultivated areas | | | |
| 1. No crop | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.040 |
| 2. Mature row crops | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.045 |
| 3. Mature field crops | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| c. Brush | | | |
| 1. Scattered brush, heavy weeds | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.070 |
| 2. Light brush and trees, in winter | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.060 |
| 3. Light brush and trees, in summer | 0.040 | 0.060 | 0.080 |
| 4. Medium to dense brush, in winter | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.110 |
| 5. Medium to dense brush, in summer | 0.070 | 0.100 | 0.160 |
| d. Trees | | | |
| 1. Cleared land with tree stumps, no sprouts | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| 2. Same as above, but heavy sprouts | 0.050 | 0.060 | 0.080 |
| 3. Heavy stand of timber, few down trees, little undergrowth, flow below branches | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.120 |
| 4. Same as above, but with flow into branches | 0.100 | 0.120 | 0.160 |
| 5. Dense willows, summer, straight | 0.110 | 0.150 | 0.200 |
| 3. Mountain Streams, no vegetation in channel, banks usually steep, with trees and brush on banks submerged: | | | |
| a Bottom gravels cobbles and few boulders | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |

Table 3-1 Manning's 'n' Values

| Type of Channel and Description | Minimum | Normal | Maximum |
|---|---------|--------|---------|
| b. Bottom: cobbles with large boulders | 0.040 | 0.050 | 0.070 |
| B. Lined or Built-Up Channels | | | |
| 1. Concrete: | | | |
| a. Trowel finish | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.015 |
| b. Float Finish | 0.013 | 0.015 | 0.016 |
| c. Finished, with gravel bottom | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
| d. Unfinished | 0.014 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
| e. Gunite, good section | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.023 |
| f. Gunite, wavy section | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.025 |
| g. On good excavated rock | 0.017 | 0.020 | |
| h. On irregular excavated rock | 0.022 | 0.027 | |
| 2. Concrete bottom float finished with sides of: | | | |
| a. Dressed stone in mortar | 0.015 | 0.017 | 0.020 |
| b. Random stone in mortar | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.024 |
| c. Cement rubble masonry, plastered | 0.016 | 0.020 | 0.024 |
| d. Cement rubble masonry | 0.020 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| e. Dry rubble on riprap | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.035 |
| 3. Gravel bottom with sides of: | | | |
| a. Formed concrete | 0.017 | 0.020 | 0.025 |
| b. Random stone in mortar | 0.020 | 0.023 | 0.026 |
| c. Dry rubble or riprap | 0.023 | 0.033 | 0.036 |
| 4. Brick: | | | |
| a. Glazed | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.015 |
| b. In cement mortar | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.018 |
| 5. Metal: | | | |
| a. Smooth steel surfaces | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.014 |
| b. Corrugated metal | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| 6. Asphalt: | | | |
| a. Smooth | 0.013 | 0.013 | |
| b. Rough | 0.016 | 0.016 | |
| 7. Vegetal lining | 0.030 | | 0.500 |
| C. Excavated or Dredged Channels | | | |
| 1. Earth, straight and uniform: | | | |
| a. Clean, recently completed | 0.016 | 0.018 | 0.020 |
| b. Clean, after weathering | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.025 |
| c. Gravel, uniform section, clean | 0.022 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| d. With short grass, few weeds | 0.022 | 0.027 | 0.033 |
| 2. Earth, winding and sluggish: | | | |
| a. No vegetation | 0.023 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| b. Grass, some weeds | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.033 |
| c. Dense weeds or aquatic plants in deep channels | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.040 |
| d. Earth bottom and rubble side | 0.028 | 0.030 | 0.035 |
| e. Stony bottom and weedy banks | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.040 |

| Type of Channel and Description | Minimum | Normal | Maximum |
|--|---------|--------|---------|
| f. Cobble bottom and clean sides | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| 3. Dragline-excavated or dredged: | | | |
| a. No vegetation | 0.025 | 0.028 | 0.033 |
| b. Light brush on banks | 0.035 | 0.050 | 0.060 |
| 4. Rock cuts: | | | |
| a. Smooth and uniform | 0.025 | 0.035 | 0.040 |
| b. Jagged and irregular | 0.035 | 0.040 | 0.050 |
| 5. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush: | | | |
| a. Clean bottom, brush on sides | 0.040 | 0.050 | 0.080 |
| b. Same as above, highest stage of flow | 0.045 | 0.070 | 0.110 |
| c. Dense weeds, high as flow depth | 0.050 | 0.080 | 0.120 |
| d. Dense brush, high stage | 0.080 | 0.100 | 0.140 |

Other sources that include pictures of selected streams as a guide to n value determination are available (Fasken, 1963; Barnes, 1967; and Hicks and Mason, 1991). In general, these references provide color photos with tables of calibrated n values for a range of flows.

Although there are many factors that affect the selection of the n value for the channel, some of the most important factors are the type and size of materials that compose the bed and banks of a channel and the shape of the channel. Cowan (1956) developed a procedure for estimating the effects of these factors to determine the value of Manning's n of a channel. In Cowan's procedure, the value of n is computed by the following equation: